



Assessing the Efficacy of Antimicrobial Agents in Controlling Bacterial Contamination on Hides and Skins: Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations and Susceptibility Testing

Baidaa M. Kadim¹, Haider S. Abdhusein^{1,*}

¹Department of Pathological Analysis, College of Applied Science, Shatrah University, Iraq.

*Corresponding author: haidersa@shu.edu.iq

Abstract

This study aimed to investigate the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of antimicrobial agents containing three different chemical materials: thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole, potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate, and benzyloxymethanol. Each of these active ingredients was tested separately at different concentrations against reference bacteria, including *Staphylococcus* sp., *Peribacillus* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Corynebacterium* sp., and *Pseudomonas* sp., those were previously obtained from leather industry. In addition, to assess the susceptibility of these isolates to eight different antibiotics: Cefotaxime, Amikacin, Spectinomycin, Tetracycline, Rifampicin, Cephalothin, Ampicillin, and Neomicin. The Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion susceptibility test method was employed on Mueller Hinton Agar. The concentrations ranged from 2500 µg/ml to 0.001 µg/ml for thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole, 5000 µg/ml to 0.002 µg/ml for potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate, and 10000 µg/ml to 0.0047 µg/ml for benzyloxymethanol as the active ingredient. The results found that the growth of all tested isolates was inhibited after exposure to the concentrations of antimicrobial agents containing thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole as an active ingredients started from 2500 µg/ml to 39.06 µg/ml, 5000 µg/ml to 156.25 µg/ml containing potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate, and 1000 µg/ml to 312 µg/ml containing benzyloxymethanol.

Keywords: Bauer Disk Diffusion Technique, agar dilution method, antibiotic resistance, antimicrobial agent, MICs, and Halophilic isolates.

تقييم فعالية العوامل المضادة للميكروبات في السيطرة على التلوث البكتيري على

جلود الحيوانات: الحد الأدنى من التراكيز المثبطة واختبار الحساسية

بيداء مزهر كاظم¹، حيدر صباح عبدالحسين^{1*}

¹قسم التحليلات المرضية، كلية العلوم الطبية التطبيقية، جامعة الشطرة، ذي قار، العراق.

الخلاصة

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى دراسة الحد الأدنى من التراكيز المثبطة (MICs) للعوامل المضادة للميكروبات التي تحتوي على ثلاث مواد كيميائية مختلفة: ثيوسيانوميثيل ثيوبنزوثيازول، ثنائي ميثيل ثنائي ثيوكرامات البوتاسيوم، وبنزيلوكسي ميثانول. تم اختبار كل من هذه المكونات النشطة بشكل منفصل بتركيزات مختلفة ضد البكتيريا المرجعية، بما في ذلك *Staphylococcus* sp., *Peribacillus* sp., *Bacillus* sp., *Corynebacterium* sp., و *Pseudomonas* sp. والتي تم الحصول عليها سابقاً من صناعة الجلود. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، تم تقييم حساسية هذه العزلات لثمانية مضادات حيوية مختلفة: سيفوتاكسيم، أميكاسين، سبكتينومايسين، تتراسيكلين، ريفامبيسين، سيفالوثين، أميسيلين، ونيومايسين. تم استخدام طريقة اختبار حساسية انتشار القرص Kirby-Bauer في Mueller Hinton Agar. تراوحت التركيزات من 2500 ميكروغرام/مل إلى 0.001 ميكروغرام/مل لثيوسيانوميثيل ثيوبنزوثيازول، و 5000 ميكروغرام/مل إلى 0.002 ميكروغرام/مل لثنائي ميثيل ديثيوكرامات البوتاسيوم، و 10000 ميكروغرام/مل إلى 0.0047 ميكروغرام/مل للبنزيلوكسي ميثانول كعنصر نشط. توصلت النتائج إلى أن نمو جميع العزلات المختبرة تم تثبيطه بعد تعرضها لتراكيز مضادات الميكروبات المحتوية على ثيوسيانوميثيل ثيوبنزوثيازول كمادة فعالة تبدأ من 2500 ميكروغرام/مل إلى 39.06 ميكروغرام/مل، و 5000 ميكروغرام/مل إلى 156.25 ميكروغرام/مل تحتوي على البوتاسيوم. ثنائي ميثيل ديثيوكرامات، و 1000 ميكروغرام/مل إلى 312 ميكروغرام/مل تحتوي على بنزيلوكسي ميثانول.



الكلمات المفتاحية: مقاومة المضادات, اختبار حساسية انتشار القرص, عوامل مضادة للميكروبات, طريقة الاكار
المخفف, العزلات المحبة للملوحه.

1. Introduction

The industry of leather has a significant contribution to the worldwide economic benefits, with an approximate annual worth over \$100 billion [1]. Nevertheless, the leather industry is also a substantial contributor to ecological contamination, as effluent from tanneries has elevated concentrations of organic and inorganic contaminants [2]. Bacteria are a significant contributor to this contamination, and they can pose severe health risks to workers in the business [3]. Hence, it is necessary to devise novel techniques for regulating bacterial growth in the leather sector. Antimicrobial substances are also defined as physical compounds and chemical agents that may have ability to prevent the growth of microorganisms or destroy them after have been treated. Antimicrobial agents have been employed for the treatment and prevention of illnesses caused by bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites. Antimicrobial agents can be categorized into many groups, such as antibiotics, antiviral, antifungal, and antiparasitics. Antibiotics are employed for the treatment of bacterial infections, whereas antiviral are utilized for the treatment of viral sicknesses. Antifungals are employed for the purpose of treating fungal infections, while antiparasitics are utilized to address parasitic infections. The utilization of antimicrobial drugs has brought about a significant transformation in current medicine and has successfully protected many lives [4, 5]. Antimicrobial drugs function by selectively attacking distinct elements of microorganisms, including cell walls, cell membranes, and metabolic processes. Administration can occur orally, topically, or intravenously, depending on the sickness type and disease severity [6, 7]. Researchers have recently focused on utilizing the active components of natural and chemical materials as antimicrobial agents to control bacteria in tanneries. Some of the compounds used for this purpose include quaternary ammonium compounds, chitosan, silver nanoparticles [8-10], potassium salts [11], and Sodium Dimethyldithiocarbamate [11]. Nevertheless, further investigation is required to ascertain the effectiveness of these substances. Potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate is a biocide [12] employed in the treatment of wastewater. Its antibacterial action has been demonstrated to prevent the proliferation of bacteria and fungus [13]. Potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate inhibits bacterial adhesion to surfaces, potentially through its capacity to chemically bond with proteins and create a shielding film on metallic surfaces. This drug also exerts inhibitory effects on intricate enzyme processes, specifically transfer events, which play a crucial role in microbial metabolism. The solution begins gradually breakdown, resulting in the formation of hydrogen sulfide and dimethyl amine. This decomposition process is increased by acidity. It does not mix well with acids, peroxides, and acid halides. Based on the data that published by [14], the lethal dose (LD50) of Potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate in mouse was 350 mg/ kg. Benzothiazole derivatives are highly intriguing owing of their extensive spectrum of biological activity and therapeutic uses. The acknowledged data suggests that benzothiazole derivatives exhibit antibacterial activity by stopping various enzymes, including dihydroorotase, DNAGyrase, uridinediphosphate-n-acetyl enolpyruvyl glucosamine reductase



(MurB), peptide deformylase, aldose reductase, casdihydrofolate reductase, enoyl acyl carrier protein reductase, dialkylglycine decarboxylase, dehydrosqualene synthase, dihydropteroate synthase, and tyrosine kinase [15]. The compound 2-(Thiocyanatomethylthio) benzothiazole has been demonstrated to interact with the ribosome during the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of cell nuclei. This binding affects the action of enzymes and inhibits the process of transcribing DNA into RNA. The medicine additionally prevents the proliferation of bacteria, thereby limiting the occurrence of infectious disorders, including tuberculosis. The compound 2-(Thiocyanatomethylthio) benzothiazole has demonstrated sub-lethal effects in mice, without any noticeable impact on body mass index or wastewater treatment. This is a synthetic organic chemical that has the potential to be utilized in the treatment of bacteria that are resistant to antibiotics [16]. Thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole is a fungicide that finds application in the tanning industry. It is utilized in the pickling and tanning procedures at a concentration ranging from 0.02% to 0.3% based on the weight of the hide. It can be found in both organic and aqueous solutions [17]. Benzyloxymethanol is a chemical which has been investigated for its ability to kill bacteria and prevent their growth. Benzyloxymethanol exhibits potent activity in enhancing DNA cleavage by selectively targeting and inhibiting bacterial topoisomerase IA [16]. Recent study by Elmaidomy *et al.*, in (2022) indicates that natural materials exhibit promising potential as powerful treatments against pathogenic microorganisms. In that study the researchers examine different potential mechanisms for addressing pathogens that are resistant to multiple drugs. It investigates the antimicrobial properties of terrestrial phytochemicals derived from plants, lichens, insects, animals, fungi, bacteria, mushrooms, and minerals, either on their own or in conjunction with traditional antibiotics. The authors indicate that this article may reveal potential medication candidates that could be utilized in future drug development efforts [18]. According to the findings of Bhat *et al.*, the study evaluated the antibacterial properties of plant extracts against bacteria that were isolated from leather industry. The study revealed that the extracts of *Cinnamomum zeylanicum*, *Syzygium aromatic*, and *Allium sativum* had noteworthy antibacterial activity against the microorganisms that were examined. The authors recommend that these botanical extracts have the potential to serve as organic antibacterial agents within the leather industry [19]. Kumar conducted a study to assess the antibacterial effectiveness of silver nanoparticles against bacteria that were obtained from leather industry. The study revealed that the silver nanoparticles exhibited substantial antibacterial efficacy against the bacteria that were examined. The authors propose that employing silver nanoparticles may be a favorable strategy for creating antimicrobial agents to resist germs found in leather industries [20]. All halophilic isolates that used in during study were isolated from leather industries and diagnosed as halophilic bacteria depending on the sequence of 16S rRNA gene in our previous study [21], also the ability of these isolates to produce proteolytic and lipolytic were tested. In addition to the effects of these isolates on sheep and goat skin were investigated. Eventually, the reference isolates were categorized into Gram positive and Gram Negative halophilic bacteria [21]. This study aims to investigate the antibacterial activity of three different antimicrobial agents against ten halophilic isolates that were isolated previously during our prior study which are including (*Staphylococcus*



haemolyticus, *Staphylococcus taiwanensis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Peribacillus frigoritolerans*, *Bacillus haynesii*, *Bacillus piscis*, *Corynebacterium aurimucosum*, *Pseudomonas iranica*, and *Pseudomonas oryzihabitans*), and to compare the results to the effects of reference antibiotics used in this study, and to estimate the minimum inhibition concentration of thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole, potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate, and benzyloxymethanol against above mentioned isolates. The results acquired from this study will definitely contribute in identifying the optimal concentration of this antimicrobial agent against halophilic bacteria that cause harm effects on the skin during leather production, therefore preventing the need for excessive chemical usage.

2. Methods

2.1. Preparation of Stock Antimicrobial Agent Solution containing thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole

A quantity of 50,000 mg of the antimicrobial agent, which includes thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole, was measured and then dissolved in 250 ml of sterile distilled water. The initial concentration was utilized as a stock solution to generate the subsequent concentrations. Specifically, 2 ml of the initial concentration was combined with distilled water, as shown in table (1). [22].

Table 1- Preparation of antimicrobial agent with different concentrations containing thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole

No	First concentration (µg/ml)	Stock (ml)	Distil water (ml)	Concentration before addition of 19 ml of medium (µg/ml)	Final concentration (µg/ml) after addition of 19 ml of medium onto 1 ml of antimicrobial agent
1	50000	2	0	50000	2500
2	50000	2	2	25000	1250
3	50000	1	3	12500	625
4	6250	2	2	6250	312.5
5	6250	1	3	3125	156.25
6	6250	1	7	1562.5	78.125
7	781.25	2	2	781.25	39.06
8	781.25	1	3	390.625	19.53
9	781.25	1	7	195.31	9.76
10	97.66	2	2	97.66	4.88
11	97.66	1	3	48.83	2.44
12	97.66	1	7	24.41	1.22
13	12.66	2	2	12.21	0.61
14	12.66	1	3	6.10	0.30
15	12.66	1	7	3.05	0.15
16	1.52	2	2	1.52	0.075



17	1.52	1	3	0.76	0.037
18	1.52	1	7	0.38	0.018
19	0.190	2	2	0.19	0.009
20	0.190	1	3	0.09	0.004
21	0.190	1	7	0.04	0.002
22	0.023	2	2	0.02	0.001

2.2. Preparation of Stock Antimicrobial Agent Solution containing potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate.

A quantity of 200,000 mg of the antimicrobial agent, which includes potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate, was measured and then dissolved in 1000 ml of sterile distilled water. Subsequently, this first concentration was regarded as the primary stock solution from which the subsequent concentrations were prepared. This involved taking 2 ml from the initial concentration and diluting it with distilled water, as indicated in the table (2) [22].

Table 2- Preparation of antimicrobial agent in different concentrations containing potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate

No	First concentration (µg/ml)	Stock (ml)	Distil water (ml)	Concentration before addition of 19 ml of medium (µg/ml)	Final concentration (µg/ml) after addition of 19 ml of medium onto 1 ml of antimicrobial agent	Final concentration x 5% (µg/ml)
1	200.000	2	0	200.000	10000	5000
2	200.000	2	2	100.000	5000	2500
3	200.000	1	3	50.000	2500	1250
4	50.000	2	2	25.000	1250	625
5	50.000	1	3	12.500	625	312.5
6	50.000	1	7	6250	312.5	156.25
7	6250	2	2	3125	156.25	78.12
8	6250	1	3	1562.5	78.125	39.06
9	6250	1	7	781.25	39.06	19.53
10	781.25	2	2	390.63	19.53	9.76
11	781.25	1	3	195.31	9.76	4.88
12	781.25	1	7	97.66	4.88	2.44
13	97.66	2	2	48.83	2.44	1.22
14	97.66	1	3	24.41	1.22	0.61
15	97.66	1	7	12.21	0.61	0.30
16	12.21	2	2	6.10	0.30	1.15



17	12.21	1	3	3.05	0.15	0.07
18	12.21	1	7	1.53	0.07	0.03
19	1.51	2	2	0.19	0.038	0.01
20	1.51	1	3	0.09	0.019	0.009
21	1.51	1	7	0.04	0.009	0.004
22	0.76	2	2	0.02	0.0047	0.002

2.3. Preparation of Stock Antimicrobial Agent Solution containing benzyloxymethanol

The antimicrobial agent with benzyloxymethanol was quantified at a weight of 200,000 mg and subsequently dissolved in 1000 ml of sterile distilled water. Subsequently, this initial concentration was regarded as the primary stock solution, from which 2 ml was extracted and combined with distilled water, as shown in Table (3), to prepare subsequent concentrations [22]. After the stock solution has been prepared, it was mixed with distilled water in the proportions specified in Table (1, 2, and 3). Depending on the type of active ingredients that used in antimicrobial agent which are applied during this study the final concentration was calculated [23].

Table 3- Preparation of antimicrobial agent in different concentrations containing benzyloxymethanol

No	First concentration (µg/ml)	Stock (ml)	Distil water (ml)	Concentration before addition of 19 ml of medium (µg/ml)	Final concentration (µg/ml) after addition of 19 ml of medium onto 1 ml of antimicrobial agent
1	200.000	2	0	200.000	10000
2	200.000	2	2	100.000	5000
3	200.000	1	3	50.000	2500
4	50.000	2	2	25.000	1250
5	50.000	1	3	12.500	625
6	50.000	1	7	6250	312.5
7	6250	2	2	3125	156.25
8	6250	1	3	1562.5	78.125
9	6250	1	7	781.25	39.06
10	781.25	2	2	390.63	19.53
11	781.25	1	3	195.31	9.76
12	781.25	1	7	97.66	4.88
13	97.66	2	2	48.83	2.44
14	97.66	1	3	24.41	1.22
15	97.66	1	7	12.21	0.61
16	12.21	2	2	6.10	0.30



17	12.21	1	3	3.05	0.15
18	12.21	1	7	1.53	0.07
19	1.51	2	2	0.19	0.038
20	1.51	1	3	0.09	0.019
21	1.51	1	7	0.04	0.009
22	0.76	2	2	0.02	0.0047

2.4. Preparation of Reference Bacterial Strains

Pure colonies of the reference bacterial isolates were cultured separately in tubes containing Mueller Hinton Broth medium containing NaCl (3M) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation period, the density of each test strain was adjusted to 10^8 CFU/ml (0.5 McFarland No.3 %NaCl) [24, 25]

2.5. Study the effects of antimicrobial agents against Euryhaline bacteria

By using different concentrations of antimicrobial substances containing thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole, potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate and benzyloxymethanol separately tested against reference bacterial strains and the Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) were investigated by using the broth dilution method in a 96-well microtiter plate[26].

2.6. Investigation of Susceptibility of euryhaline bacteria to Antibiotics

In this study eight kinds of antibiotics were tested against the halophilic bacteria by using Bauer-Kirby methods, which are including Cephalothin, Cefotaxime, Rifampicin, Spectinomycin, Ampicillin, Amikacin, Neomicin and Tetracycline (Oxoid). The reference isolates were cultured separately in test tubes with Muller Hinton Broth and incubated overnight at 37°C. The turbidity of all isolates was adjusted to 10^8 CFU/ml (0.5 No McFarland). After that the bacterial growth was spread on Muller Hinton Agar and the antibiotic discs were put on the surface of Petri plates, and then incubated at 37°C for 24h [27]. The inhibition zones around colonies were estimated by mm [24-25].

3. Results and Discussion

22's different descending concentrations of antimicrobial agents ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) with thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole, potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate, and benzyloxymethanol were tested against (*Staphylococcus haemolyticus*, *Staphylococcus taiwanensis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Peribacillus frigoritolerans*, *Bacillus haynesii*, *Bacillus piscis*, *Corynebacterium aurimucosum*, *Pseudomonas iranica*, and *Pseudomonas oryzihabitans*), The main objective of this experiment was to determine the MIC and to reduce consumption of antimicrobial agent in the leather industry. The results found that the growth of all tested isolates were inhibited after exposure to the concentrations of antimicrobial agents containing



thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole as an active ingredients started from 10000 µg/ml to 78 µg/ml, table (4).

Table 4- The MIC of Antimicrobial agent thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole against Halophilic Isolates.

Antimicrobial agent thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole µg/ml	<i>Corynebacterium aurimucosum</i>	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	<i>Peribacillus frigiditolerans</i>	<i>Pseudomonas oryzae</i>	<i>Bacillus haynesii</i>	<i>Pseudomonas iranica</i>	<i>Bacillus pascalis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus taiwanensis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i>
2500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
625	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
312.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
78.125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19.53	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
9.76	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
4.88	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2.44	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
1.22	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.61	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.30	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.15	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.075	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.037	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.018	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.009	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.004	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.002	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.001	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
P.C*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
N.C**	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

P.C* = Positive control (only bacteria+ media without bacteriocin), and N.C** = Negative control (only medium without bacteria and bacteriocin).



While the MICs of the *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus taiwanensis* and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* was 19.53 µg/ml; the MICs of the *Corynebacterium aurimucosum*, *Peribacillus frigiditolerans*, *Bacillus haynesii*, *Pseudomonas iranica* and *Bacillus piscis* was 39.06 µg/ml. Also the growth of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Pseudomonas oryzihabitans* was inhibited at the concentration 9.76 µg/ml. Previous study revealed that the multidrug resistance isolates which isolated from leather industries (soaked liquor) were inhibited at concentrations started from 500 to 4000 µg/ml of antimicrobial agent with sodium dimethyldithiocarbamate, whereas the MICs of *Staphylococcus aureus* 12228 and *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212 was 15.6 µg/ml, and the MICs of *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC6633 is 3.9 µg/ml and the of *Escherichia coli* ATCC25922 and *Bacillus cereus* ATCC11778 is 500 µg/ml. Depending on the results provided by applying 22 different concentrations of the antimicrobial substance containing Potassium dimethyl dithiocarbamate to halophilic bacteria, the lowest concentration value that inhibited the growth of *Corynebacterium aurimucosum*, *Bacillus piscis*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* was 78.12 µg/ml, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus taiwanensis* was 39.06 µg/ml, and the MICs of *Pseudomonas oryzihabitans* was 19.53 µg/ml. *Bacillus haynesii*, *Pseudomonas iranica*, and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* were more resistant than others and the MICs was 156.25 µg/ml. Table (5).

The minimum inhibitory of an antimicrobial agent with methylisothiazolinone used in leather industry against multidrug resistance isolates obtained from leather tanneries was investigated in earlier study, the findings provided that the MIC value for *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 was found to be 1250 µg/ml. The MIC value for *Micrococcus luteus* ATCC9341, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC29213, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC27853 was found to be 5000 µg/ml. While the MIC value for *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC6633 was calculated as 9.76 µg/ml; the MIC value for *Bacillus cereus* ATCC11778 was 156 µg/ml, it was found to be 39 µg/ml for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* ATCC12228 and *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC29212.



Table 5- The MIC of antimicrobial agent containing potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate against halophilic isolates

Antimicrobial agent potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate, µg/ml	<i>Corynebacterium aurimucosum</i>	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	<i>Peribacillus frigoritolerans</i>	<i>Pseudomonas oryzae</i>	<i>Bacillus haynesii</i>	<i>Pseudomonas iranica</i>	<i>Bacillus piscis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus taiwanensis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i>
5000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
625	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
312.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
78.12	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
39.06	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
19.53	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
9.76	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4.88	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2.44	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
1.22	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.61	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.30	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
1.15	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.07	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.03	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.01	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.009	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
P.C*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
N.C**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Based on the results that acquired by employing 22 different concentrations of the antimicrobial substance with benzyloxymethanol to salt-loving isolates, while the MIC value that prevents the growth of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Bacillus piscis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Staphylococcus taiwanensis* was 156.25 µg/ml of benzyloxymethanol. Also results revealed the minimum inhibitory of an Antimicrobial Agent with benzyloxymethanol for *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* and *Bacillus haynesii* was 78.125 µg/ml, and for *Pseudomonas oryzihabitans* was 39.06. Whereas, *Corynebacterium aurimucosum*, *Peribacillus frigoritolerans* and *Pseudomonas iranica* showed high resistance in contrast to other species, and the MIC value for these isolates was 312.5 µg/ml. Table 6.

Table 6- The MIC of Antimicrobial agent containing benzyloxymethanol against Halophilic Isolates

Antimicrobial agent (benzyloxymethanol) µg/ml	<i>Corynebacterium aurimucosum</i>	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	<i>Peribacillus frigoritolerans</i>	<i>Pseudomonas oryzihabitans</i>	<i>Bacillus haynesii</i>	<i>Pseudomonas iranica</i>	<i>Bacillus piscis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus taiwanensis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i>
10000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
625	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
312.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156.25	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
78.125	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
39.06	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
19.53	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9.76	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4.88	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2.44	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
1.22	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.61	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0.30	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+



0.15	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
P.C*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
N.C**	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

In this study eight antibiotic discs which are including Rifampicin, Cephalothin, Cefotaxime, Tetracycline, Spectinomycin, Amikacin, Ampicillin, and Neomicin were used as reference against halophilic isolates by using Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method in order to compare the results of antibiotic susceptibility with antimicrobial agents results and the results found that the inhibition zones around colonies of *Corynebacterium aurimucosum* (8-31mm), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (15-31mm), *Peribacillus frigoritolerans* (7-25 mm), *Pseudomonas oryzihabitans* (19-30 mm), *Bacillus haynesii* (12-20 mm), *Pseudomonas iranica* (13-40 mm), *Bacillus piscis* (11-30 mm), *Staphylococcus aureus* (13-36 mm), *Staphylococcus taiwanensis* (15-25 mm), and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* (7-25 mm). While, Rifampicin, Tetracycline, Neomicin, and Amikacin showed no resistance by all tested isolates; *Pseudomonas oryzihabitans* were resistant to Ampicillin, Cefotaxime and Cephalothin. *Bacillus haynesii* showed resistance against Cephalothin and Cefotaxime. Also Cefotaxime and Ampicillin were resisted by *Staphylococcus taiwanensis*, table (7).

Table 7 -The antibiotics and inhibition zones around halophilic colonies on Muller Hinton Agar

Antibiotics	<i>Corynebacterium aurimucosum</i>	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	<i>Peribacillus frigoritolerans</i>	<i>Pseudomonas oryzihabitans</i>	<i>Bacillus haynesii</i>	<i>Pseudomonas iranica</i>	<i>Bacillus piscis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus taiwanensis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i>
	Inhibition zones (mm)									
Cephalothin	20	23	8	0	0	18	15	29	13	13
Cefotaxime	8	19	0	0	12	15	16	13	0	0
Rifampicin	31	31	18	19	16	40	29	36	25	25
Spectinomycin	15	16	18	28	15	0	15	15	9	6
Ampicillin	10	27	7	0	0	19	11	0	0	6
Amikacin	22	15	25	30	20	20	20	15	14	15
Neomicin	24	23	20	30	20	13	30	24	21	18
Tetracycline	23	30	20	24	18	29	21	19	21	16



4. Conclusion

The leather sector plays a crucial role in the world economy, although it also poses a substantial threat to the environment through its large contribution to pollution. Bacteria are a major contributor to this pollution, and they can pose significant health risks for workers in the industry. This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of antimicrobial treatments in controlling bacterial strains obtained from leather industry. We have found a number of chemicals that have demonstrated encouraging outcomes when tested in a controlled laboratory environment. These compounds include thiocyanomethyl thiobenzothiazole, potassium dimethyldithiocarbamate, and benzyloxymethanol. Our research shows that these substances have the ability to effectively eliminate the bacteria found in leather industries, therefore providing a way to reduce the industry's ecological impact. Current research has been conducted on the utilization of antimicrobial chemicals for the purpose of managing halophilic bacteria. Halophilic bacteria are microorganisms that thrive in environments with high salt concentrations and are known for producing challenges in various industries, including food, leather, oil, and gas. Research has been achieved to explore the possibility of using antimicrobial compounds to manage halophilic bacteria. The leather industry is a major contributor to environmental pollution as a result of the discharge of hazardous chemicals and heavy metals. The wastewater produced by these industries contains a significant amount of organic and inorganic contaminants, including microorganisms. The existence of bacteria in the sewage is a notable concern because of its potential to induce severe health complications. Hence, the imperative significance resides in the improvement of antimicrobial compounds targeting bacteria obtained from leather industries. Furthermore, the data acquired from the present investigation show significance in terms of regulating the proliferation of bacteria that were previously identified in the leather industry and share the same species as halophilic test strains in this study. This data also aids in preventing the potential harm they may cause on the quality of the skin.

5. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Head of microbiology department in College of Veterinary/ University of Al- Shatrah.

6. Ethical responsibilities of authors

This article does not contain any studies involving animals performed by any of the authors.”

7. Disclosure and conflict of interest

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.



8. References

- [1] M. V. M. Size, "Share & trends analysis report," URL: <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/automated-guided-vehicle-agv-market>, 2020. <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/leather-goods-market>.
- [2] O. Omoloso, K. Mortimer, W. R. Wise, and L. Jraisat, "Sustainability research in the leather industry: A critical review of progress and opportunities for future research," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 285, p. 125441, 2021.
- [3] E. Gadisa and H. Usman, "Evaluation of Antibacterial Activity of Essential Oils and Their Combination against Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria Isolated from Skin Ulcer," *International journal of microbiology*, vol. 2021, no. 1, p. 6680668, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6680668>.
- [4] B. Khameneh, M. Iranshahy, V. Soheili, and B. S. Fazly Bazzaz, "Review on plant antimicrobials: a mechanistic viewpoint," *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*, vol. 8, pp. 1-28, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0559-6>.
- [5] D. Stan *et al.*, "Natural compounds with antimicrobial and antiviral effect and nanocarriers used for their transportation," *Frontiers in pharmacology*, vol. 12, p. 723233, 2021.
- [6] "Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States," in "Country Score Justification and References," 2021. <https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest-threats.html>.
- [7] B. Spellberg and D. N. Gilbert, "The future of antibiotics and resistance: a tribute to a career of leadership by John Bartlett," *Clinical infectious diseases*, vol. 59, no. suppl_2, pp. S71-S75, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit020>.
- [8] H. Santhaseelan *et al.*, "Recent antimicrobial responses of halophilic microbes in clinical pathogens," *Microorganisms*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 417, 2022.
- [9] M. Hosain, S. Kabir, and M. Kamal, "Antimicrobial uses for livestock production in developing countries. Vet World 14 (1): 210–221," ed, 2021.
- [10] J. S. Kim *et al.*, "Antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles," *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, biology and medicine*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 95-101, 2007. DOI: [10.1016/j.nano.2006.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2006.12.001).
- [11] J. Cabezas-Pizarro, M. Redondo-Solano, C. Umaña-Gamboa, and M. L. Arias-Echandi, "Antimicrobial activity of different sodium and potassium salts of carboxylic acid against some common foodborne pathogens and spoilage-associated bacteria," *Revista Argentina de microbiologia*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 56-61, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2016.11.011>.
- [12] E. H. Taylor, E. Walker, M. Bartelt, S. Day, and A. Pappas, "In vitro antimicrobial activity of diethyldithiocarbamate and dimethyldithiocarbamate against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus," *Annals of Clinical & Laboratory Science*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 171-177, 1987.
- [13] R. E. Decisions, "Sodium and Potassium Dimethyldithiocarbamate Salts Amendment to the Ferbam (PC Code 034801) and Ziram (PC Code 034805)."



- [14] G. Åberg, "Toxicological and local anaesthetic effects of optically active isomers of two local anaesthetic compounds," *Acta pharmacologica et toxicologica*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 273-286, 1972.
- [15] P. Kashyap, S. Verma, P. Gupta, R. Narang, S. Lal, and M. Devgun, "Recent insights into antibacterial potential of benzothiazole derivatives," *Medicinal Chemistry Research*, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 1543-1573, 2023.
- [16] Q.-Y. Zhang *et al.*, "Antimicrobial peptides: mechanism of action, activity and clinical potential," *Military Medical Research*, vol. 8, pp. 1-25, 2021.
- [17] B. G. Brownlee, J. H. Carey, G. A. MacInnis, and I. T. Pellizzari, "Aquatic environmental chemistry of 2-(thiocyanomethylthio) benzothiazole and related benzothiazoles," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry: An International Journal*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 1153-1168, 1992.
- [18] A. H. Elmaidomy *et al.*, "Antimicrobial potentials of natural products against multidrug resistance pathogens: A comprehensive review," *RSC advances*, vol. 12, no. 45, pp. 29078-29102, 2022. [doi:10.1039/d2ra04884a](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra04884a)
- [19] A. H. B. M. A. Bhat, A. H. Wani, "Antimicrobial activity of plant extracts against bacteria isolated from leather industries," *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, Research article vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 2316-2324, 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.608.276>.
- [20] S. K. S. Kumar, R. Singh, "Antimicrobial activity of silver nanoparticles synthesized from the extract of *Salvia officinalis* is against bacteria isolated from leather industry," *Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1005-1009, 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.2018.8.3.1005-1009>.
- [21] H. S. Abdulhusein, "Investigation of Antibiotic Resistance, Bacteriocin and Enzyme Production of Haloversatile Bacteria in Salt Used For Skin Preservation, Controlling with an Antimicrobial Substance," Doctorate, Microbiology, Marmara university, Turkey, 2023.
- [22] K. H. I. Wiegand, R. E. W. Hancock, "Agar and Broth Dilution Methods to Determine the Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Antimicrobial Substances," *Nature Protocols*, vol. 3, pp. 163-175, 2008, [doi: 10.3390/pathogens10020165](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10020165).
- [23] C. F. C. K. A. Hammer, T. V. Riley, "Antimicrobial Activity of Essential Oils and Other Plant Extracts," *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, vol. 86, pp. 985-990, 1999, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.1999.00780.x>.
- [24] a. W. M. M. K. A. W. Bauer, J. C. Sherris, M. Turck, "Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing by a Standardized Single Disk Method," *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, vol. 45, pp. 493-496, 1966, doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/45.4_ts.493
- [25] C. a. L. S. Institute, "Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing," *Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute*, CLSI supplements M100, 2018.



- [26] S. A. M. Elshikh, S. Funston, "Resazurin-based 96-well plate microdilution method for the determination of minimum inhibitory concentration of biosurfactants.
" *BiotechnolLett*, vol. 38, pp. 1015–1019, 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-016-2079-2>.
- [27] EUCAST, " Breakpoint Tables for Interpretation of MICs and Zone Diameters.," (*The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*), vol. 8, no. 1, (The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing), 2018.